1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 6 AT SEATTLE 7 CUREVO, INC., 8 NO. C19-0572RSL Plaintiff, 9 v. ORDER DENYING MOTION TO 10 EXPEDITE AND MOTION TO SENYON TEDDY CHOE, MODIFY SCHEDULING DATES 11 Defendant. 12 13 This matter comes before the Court on defendant's "Motion to Expedite Order Amending 14 Scheduling Dates" (Dkt. #27) and "Motion to Modify Scheduling Dates" (Dkt. #28). The 15 motions are DENIED. Absent extraordinary circumstances not present here, a motion to 16 continue deadlines may be noted for consideration no earlier than the second Friday after filing. 17 18 LCR 7(d)(2). Even if the Court were to consider defendant's motion to extend the Rule 26(f) and 19 Joint Status Report deadlines on its merits, defendant has not made a showing of good cause 20 as required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b)(1)(A). A pending motion to dismiss does not automatically 21 stay discovery or other case management deadlines, and defendant's personal jurisdiction 22 argument is not weighty enough to justify such a stay (as will be more fully explicated in a 23 24 separate order). 25 The parties shall, within seven days of the date of this Order, submit their combined Joint 26 Status Report and Discovery Plan. 27 ORDER DENYING MOTION TO EXPEDITE 28

AND MOTION TO MODIFY SCHEDULING DATES - 1

Dated this 29th day of July, 2019.

MMS (aswik
Robert S. Lasnik
United States District Judge

ORDER DENYING MOTION TO EXPEDITE AND MOTION TO MODIFY SCHEDULING DATES - 2